

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

mitt

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/868,419	06/19/2001	Ronnie Brain	APPL 0102 PU	1458
7	590 05/14/2003			
John A Artz			EXAMINER	
	ph Road Suite 250		SMITH, JAMES G	
Southfield, MI	48034		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			3723	
			DATE MAILED: 05/14/2003	10

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>			A1\				
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/868,419	BRAIN ET AL.					
Tianically modern	Examiner	Art Unit					
	James G. Smith	3723					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the c ver sheet with the o	corresp ndence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 4/28/03 FAILS TO PLACE THIS API Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application of this application of the same of the contract of the con	ation. A proper reply h places the applica	ition in				
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TO date on which the petition under 37 CF	g date of the final rejecti HE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the appr	on. See MPEP opriate extension				
fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offictimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the ma	originally set in the final	Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:						
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) Ithey are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		idered but does NO	T place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which wer	e newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to: 5-22 and 24-26.							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4, 23</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).						
10. Other:							
		James G. Smith Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3723					

Continuation of 2. NOTE: improper multiply dependent claims now require a new consideration and therefore raise a new issue and may require a possible new ground of rejection.